RECEIVED FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION

			CHARACOLON		
1	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	LECTION COMMISSION	call kilb %	DV 11 13	
2		E Street, N.W.	2016 MAR - 7	PM 4: 13	
3	Washi	ngton, D.C. 20463			
4 · 5	FIRST GENER	AL COUNSEL'S REPORT	•		
6			CEL	Α	
7		MUR: 6961	V.4	-/ \	
8 9		DATE COMPLAINT FILI	DATE COMPLAINT FILED: August 28, 2015		
9		DATE OF NOTIFICATION: September 1, 2015			
10.		LAST RESPONSE RECEIVED: Nov. 2, 2015			
11	·	DATE ACTIVATED: Dec	cember 7, 2015		
12					
13		ELECTION CYCLE: 201	6		
14		EXPIRATION OF SOL: J	•		
15		(October 15, 202	20	
16					
17	COMPLAINANT:	American Democracy Lega	al Fund		
1.8					
19	RESPONDENTS:	Donald J. Trump			
20		Donald J. Trump for President			
21		Timothy Jost, in his offici			
22		Gotham Government Relat	iions & Commi	inications	
23		LLC			
24		Extra Mile, Inc.		•	
25 .		50.11.0.0.0.00104(1)(0)			
26	RELEVANT STATUTES	52 U.S.C. § 30104(b)(8)			
2.7	AND REGULATIONS:	52 U.S.C. § 30116			
28		52 U.S.C. § 30118(a), (b)			
29		11 C.F.R. § 104.3(d)			
30		11 C.F.R. § 104.11(b)			
31		11 C.F.R. § 110.1			
32	INTERNAL DEPONTS OFFICIEN.	Disalamon Domento			
33	INTERNAL REPORTS CHECKED:	Disclosure Reports			
34	PEDEDAL ACENCIES CHECKED.	Nama			
35 36	FEDERAL AGENCIES CHECKED:	None			
37	I. INTRODUCTION				
38	The Complaint alleges that Donald	J. Trump for President, Inc.	("Committee")	paid	
39	actors to attend Trump's candidacy announcement on June 16, 2015, but failed to disclose				
40	payments to those actors or to the companies that hired them. Thus, the Complaint alleges that				
41	the Committee violated the reporting provisions of the Federal Election Campaign Act of 1971,				

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

MUR 6961 (Donald J. Trump for President, Inc., et al.) First General Counsel's Report Page 2 of 13

- 1 as amended ("the Act") and may have also accepted prohibited or excessive contributions from
- 2 two companies involved with hiring the actors, Gotham Government Relations &
- 3 Communications LLC ("Gotham") and Extra Mile, Inc. ("Extra Mile"). The Committee and
- 4 Gotham deny they committed any violation. The Committee states that it paid Gotham \$12,000
- 5 for its services on October 8, 2015, and denies any connection with Extra Mile, which allegedly
- 6 hired the actors. The Committee further states that this payment would be disclosed on its 2015
- 7 Year-End Report, the next report it was scheduled to file with the Commission. Gotham
- 8 explains that it hired Extra Mile as a subcontractor to provide administrative support at Trump's

The information in the record is consistent with Respondents' factual explanations, but it

9 announcement. Extra Mile did not submit a response.

is also clear that the Committee did not pay Gotham for its services for almost four months after the event, and did not report the transaction for more than seven months after the event. Thus, Gotham's apparent extension of credit to the Committee for the services rendered at the June candidacy announcement may constitute an excessive or prohibited contribution, and the Committee failed to report the amount it owed Gotham as a debt. However, because of the seemingly modest amount at issue, we recommend that the Commission exercise its prosecutorial discretion and dismiss the allegation that Gotham made and Trump and the Committee accepted an excessive or prohibited contribution, dismiss the allegation that Extra

Mile, Inc. made and Trump and the Committee received a prohibited contribution, and dismiss

II. FACTUAL AND LEGAL ANALYSIS

A. Factual Background

Donald J. Trump is a candidate for the Republican Presidential nomination in 2016.

with caution the allegation that the Committee failed to report a debt.

10

11

12 13

14

15 16

17

18 19

20

21 22

- 1 Trump announced his candidacy at an event held at Trump Tower in New York City on June 16,
- 2 2015, and filed his Statement of Candidacy with the Commission on June 22, 2015. Based
- 3 largely on press reports, the Complaint alleges that the Committee hired actors to be part of the
- 4 audience at Trump's candidacy announcement and failed to report the related transactions.²
- 5 According to press reports cited in the Complaint, Extra Mile issued a casting call e-mail for
- 6 actors "to wear t-shirts and carry signs and help cheer [Trump] in support of his announcement"
- 7 in exchange for a payment of \$50. One cited article from The Hollywood Reporter purports to
- 8 reprint a redacted version of that e-mail, which reads as follows:³

Hi there—We are working helping one of are [sic] associates out at Gotham GR - http://gothamgr.com/ with a big event happening on TUESDAY 6/16/15. This is an event in support of Donald Trump and an upcoming exciting announcement he will be making at this event. This event is called "People for a Stronger America." The entire group is a pro-small business group that is dedicated to encouraging Donald Trump and his latest ventures. This event will be televised. We are looking to cast people for the event to wear t-shirts and carry signs and help cheer him in support of his announcement. We understand this is not a traditional "background job," but we believe acting comes in all forms and this is inclusive of that school of thought. This event is happening LIVE and will be from 8:45AM-11:30AM. LESS THAN 3 HOURS. This will take place inside / interior. The rate for this is: \$50 CASH at the end of the event. We would love to book you if you are interested and still available. Please let us know and we will get back to you with confirmation.

The Committee filed a Statement of Organization with the Commission on June 29, 2015. See also Donald Trimp Presidential Announcement, TRUMP WEBSITE, http://www.donaldjtrump.com/media/donald-trump-presidential-announcement (last visited Jan. 28, 2016); Ben Terris, Donald Trump begins 2016 bid, citing outsider status, WASH. POST (June 16, 2015), https://www.washingtonpost.com/politics/donald-trump-is-now-a-candidate-for-president-of-the-united-states/2015/06/16/5e6d738e-1441-11e5-9ddc-e3353542100c_story.html.

See Compl. at 2 (citing Aaron Couch & Emmet McDermott, Donald Trump Campaign Offered Actors \$50 to Cheer for Him at Presidential Announcement, THE HOLLYWOOD REPORTER (June 17, 2015), http://www.hollywoodreporter.com/news/donald-trump-campaign-offered-actors-803161 and Kieran Corcoran, Donald Trump accused of hiring ACTORS for \$50 each to pose as supporters at Trump Towers presidential campaign launch, Daily Mail (June 17, 2015), http://www.dailymail.co.uk/news/article-3128230/Did-Donald-Trump-hire-PAID-ACTORS-presidential-campaign-launch-Claims-professionals-extras-brought-pose-supporters.html). The practice of hiring crowds to attend political events appears to be common. See Dan Schneider, 1-800-HIRE-A-CROWD, ATLANTIC (Jul. 22, 2015), http://www.theatlantic.com/business/archive/2015/07/crowd-hiring-politics-campaign-2016/399002/ (identifying "Extra Mile Casting" as an example of a company that offers rental crowd services).

Compl. at 2, (citing Couch, supra note 2).

- 1 The Hollywood Reporter article includes photographs purportedly showing two actors
- 2 (Domenico Del Giacco and Courtney Klotz) wearing campaign t-shirts and holding campaign
- 3 signs at the event. Another press report indicated that campaign staff also persuaded tourists in
- 4 the area to be part of the crowd.⁵
- 5 Extra Mile is a New York corporation and Gotham is a New York limited liability
- 6 company. On its website, Extra Mile describes itself as a casting agency founded "to target the
- 7 abundant need of casting directors booking background talent in the New York City
- 8 Metropolitan area." Extra Mile's website lists "Gotham GR" as a client but does not list Trump
- 9 or his Committee. According to Gotham's website, it is a "government relations firm" whose
- 10 clients include various profit and non-profit entities. The Trump Organization, a limited
- 11 liability company headed by Trump as its Chairman and President, 10 is listed on Gotham's
- website as a client, but the Committee is not. Gotham's YouTube channel, however, does

Couch, supra note 2; see also http://www.imdb.com/name/nm7401575/?ref_=fn_al_nm_l and http://www.imdb.com/find?ref_=nv_sr_fn&q=courtney+klotz&s=all (listing bios for both actors). According to the press reports cited in the Complaint, the original source of these allegations appears to be an anti-Trump blogger named Angelo Carusone, who located the photographs of the actors at the Trump event through social media sites. See Angelo Carusone, Donald Trump Hired Paid Actors to Attend Presidential Launch Event, @GOANGELO (June 16, 2015), https://medium.com/@GoAngelo/donald-trump-hired-paid-actors-to-attend-presidential-launch-event-7c65e8fadea0# fhwsov956 (last visited Jan. 28, 2016). Carusone states that a source verified that the actors in these photographs were indeed paid to attend the Trump event. Id.

Terris, supra note 1 (stating that a campaign staff member offered free t-shirts to passersby who agreed to attend the event).

See New York Department of State, Division of Corporations, State Records & UCC, http://www.dos.ny.gov/corps/bus_entity_search.html.

http://www.extramilenyc.com/document/who-we-are.html.

http://www.extramilenyc.com/document/clients.html.

http://gothamgr.com/.

See New York Department of State, Division of Corporations, State Records & UCC, https://appext20.dos.ny.gov/corp_public/CORPSEARCH.ENTITY_INFORMATION?p_nameid=2447088&p_corpid=2405651&p_entity_name=trump&p_name_type=A&p_search_type=BEGINS&p_srch_results_page=. Trump is listed as Chairman and President on The Trump Organization website. See http://www.trump.com/biography/.

.5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

include a two-minute video called "trump announce 061615" that it produced, which consists of a montage of footage taken at Trump's announcement event. The video shows camera crews setting up, supporters holding campaign signs and making positive statements about Trump, a

4 person encouraging a crowd to chant and cheer for Trump, and a portion of Trump's speech. 12

The Complaint alleges violations of the Act because the Committee's 2015 July

Quarterly Report, which covers the period from April 1 through June 30, 2015, did not disclose any payments to Extra Mile, Gotham, or either of the identified actors, even though it appeared that they had provided services to the Committee related to the event. According to the Complaint, because Extra Mile paid the actors directly, the Committee should have reimbursed Extra Mile for those payments. Likewise, the Complainant argues, Committee reports should have disclosed a disbursement to Gotham because Extra Mile's casting call stated that Gotham hired it to recruit the actors.

The Committee denies the allegations. The Committee states that it did not retain Extra Mile, had "no knowledge" of that firm, and did not hire or authorize hiring actors. ¹⁶ It further explains that it hired Gotham to perform services for which it paid \$12,000 on October 8, 2015, which it claims was "within a commercially reasonable time." The Committee does not describe the services Gotham performed or state why it paid the firm for these services almost

¹¹ https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=TsFYmy1mvXM.

^{· 12} Id.

Compl. at 3.

¹⁴ *Id.* at 4.

¹⁵ Id. at 5.

¹⁶ Comm. Resp. at 1, 3.

¹⁷ Id. at 2-3.

- 1 four months after the event. According to the response, the Committee planned to disclose the
- 2 payment to Gotham on its 2015 Year End Report due on January 31, 2016, which it did, listing
- 3 "Event Consultant" as the purpose for the disbursement.
- 4 Gotham similarly denies the allegations, stating that it provided services at Trump's
- 5 announcement event "[a]s part of its communication and media practice," for which it was paid
- 6 \$12,000 on October 8, 2015. 6 Gotham attached a copy of the check to its response. 6 Gotham
- 7 also denies hiring actors but acknowledges retaining Extra Mile to help it provide
- 8 "administrative staff" for Trump's announcement.²⁰ Gotham avers, however, that it had no
- 9 knowledge regarding the specific individuals Extra Mile hired.²¹
- Extra Mile did not submit a response. In The Hollywood Reporter article, a
- representative for Extra Mile denied knowing about the e-mail casting call.²²
- B. Legal Analysis
 - 1. Excessive and Prohibited Contributions
- The Act prohibits contributions from an individual to a candidate or an authorized committee in excess of \$2,700 per election.²³ The Act also prohibits corporations from making contributions to candidates or their committees.²⁴ A "contribution" includes "any direct or

Gotham Resp. at 1-2.

¹⁹ Id., Attach.

²⁰ *Id*. at 3.

Id. Both the Committee and Gotham also argue that the Complaint was speculative and should be dismissed because it served as "nothing more than an attempt to shift the burden to the Respondents through the use of innuendo and conjecture." Comm. Resp. at 2; Gotham Resp. at 2.

Couch, supra note 2.

²³ 52 U.S.C. § 30116(a); 11 C.F.R. § 110.1(b).

²⁴ 52 U.S.C. § 30118(a); 11 C.F.R. § 114.2(a).

11

12

13

1 indirect payment, distribution, loan, advance, deposit, or gift of money, or any services, or

- 2 anything of value."²⁵ "Anything of value" includes in-kind contributions, such as the provision
- 3 of goods or services without charge, or at a charge less than the usual and normal charge.²⁶ A
- 4 commercial vendor may extend credit to a candidate, a political committee or another person on
- 5 behalf of a candidate or political committee provided that the credit is extended in the ordinary
- 6 course of the vendor's business and the terms are substantially similar to extensions of credit to
- 7 nonpolitical debtors that are of similar risk and size of obligation.²⁷ Depending on the
- 8 circumstances under which an extension of credit is made, such an extension may, or may not,
- 9 constitute a contribution.

The Commission has interpreted "anything of value" broadly under the Act, focusing on whether the goods and services at issue provided a benefit to the candidate or committee that received them. ²⁸ We believe that the service at issue here — assembling a crowd of attendees for

²⁵ 52 U.S.C. § 30118(b)(2); see also id. § 30101(8)(A)(i); 11 C.F.R. § 100.52(a).

²⁶ 11 C.F.R. § 100.52(d)(1).

¹¹ C.F.R. § 116.3(a). See also 11 C.F.R. § 116.3(b) (nearly identical rule regarding extensions of credit by corporate commercial vendors). In assessing whether a commercial vendor extended credit in the ordinary course of business, and thus did not make a contribution, the Commission will consider: (1) whether the commercial vendor followed its established procedures and its past practice in approving the extension of credit; (2) whether the commercial vendor received prompt payment in full if it previously extended credit to the same candidate or political committee; and (3) whether the extension of credit conformed to the usual and normal practice in the commercial vendor's trade. 11 C.F.R. § 116.3(c).

For example, the Commission has found that stocks and commodities, an activist's contact list, and the production elements for a benefit concert — including the services of an orchestra, gospel choir, talent assistants, make-up and hair artists, and publicists, would be considered contributions. See Advisory Op. 2000-30 (pac.com) (stock); First Gen. Counsel's Rpt. at 10, MUR 5409 (Grover Norquist, et al.) (Aug. 31, 2004) (finding reason to believe that master contact list of activists was something of value under Act even though it lacked commercial or market value and despite difficulty in quantifying its precise worth); PC Brief at 7-8, MUR 5225 (New York Senate 2000) (July 5, 2005) (probable cause finding by Comm'n Oct. 20, 2005) (detailing approximately \$395,000 worth of in-kind contributions related to joint fundraising concert event that were unreported).

a candidacy announcement — provided a benefit to the Trump campaign.²⁹ It is not uncommon

2 for campaigns to hire companies or casting agencies like Extra Mile to generate a crowd at an

3 event.³⁰ In this matter, the available information indicates that the Committee retained Gotham

as an event consultant, and Gotham, in turn, subcontracted with Extra Mile to provide extra

administrative support at Trump's announcement, including the provision of at least some of the

rally crowd.

4

5

6

7

8

9

1.0

11

1.2

13

14

15

16

17

The Complaint alleges that Trump and the Committee failed to pay Gotham or Extra Mile for the services they provided at Trump's candidacy announcement. While there had been no such payment at the time the Complaint was filed, the Committee did pay Gotham \$12,000 about a month later, in early October, for unspecified services. Despite the payment, however, the allegations still raise the question whether Gotham's apparent four-month extension of credit became a contribution to the Committee, or whether Extra Mile made a prohibited corporate contribution. But there is limited information to make such determinations.

First, there is no information to help determine if the \$12,000 the Committee paid for Gotham's services was "usual and normal." The only information before the Commission about the services Gotham provided are a check and the entry on the Committee's Year-End report describing Gotham as an "Event Consultant." And while Gotham's response alludes to

See, e.g., First Gen. Counsel's Rpt. at 12-13, 17-18, 22, MUR 6651 (Murray Energy Corp.) (collecting cases regarding the meaning of "anything of value," recommending that the Commission find that assembling a crowd for a political rally would be a thing of value, but also recommending dismissal). Our Office also recommended that the Commission find no reason to believe that the corporation facilitated contributions related to the rally, but ultimately the Commission was equally divided over how to proceed and closed the file. Certification, MUR 6651 (June 18, 2015).

See, Schneider, supra note 2, and Extra Mile's website, http://www.extramilenyc.com/document/who-we-are.html.

10

11

- 1 "staffing" the event, the Committee's response merely states that Gotham provided "services,"
- 2 without any more detail.
- 3 Similarly, the record is scant as to whether Gotham extended credit to the Committee
- 4 outside the ordinary course of business.³¹ The Commission has typically decided whether an
- 5 extension of credit resulted in an in-kind contribution based upon an analysis of whether a
- 6 vendor followed its ordinary course of business and whether the payment was made in a
- 7 commercially reasonable time. 32 The Commission has usually investigated extensions of credit
- 8 involving substantial amounts in violation and lengthy repayment periods.³³
 - Here, the record is clear that the Committee paid Gotham almost four months after the event, and more than a month after the complaint was filed. But there is no available information supporting the Committee's assertion that the time it took to make the \$12,000
- 12 payment to Gotham was commercially reasonable, or that Gotham followed its established credit

As a limited liability company, Gotham may be subject to the prohibition against corporate contributions, depending on whether it elects to be treated as a partnership or corporation by the Internal Revenue Service.

11 C.F.R. 110.1(g). If treated as a partnership, it is possible that Gotham made an excessive in-kind contribution to the Committee in violation of 52 U.S.C. § 30116 when it allowed the Committee almost four months to pay for its services. If Gotham elected tax treatment as a corporation, it may have violated 52 U.S.C. § 30118(a) if allowing the Committee four months to pay was not commercially reasonable.

Compare MURs 5069 and 5132 (Acevedo Vila) (authorizing an investigation where the record contained conflicting information about whether it was normal industry practice for advertising agencies to advance the money for media buys to campaigns, there did not appear to be a written agreement specifying the terms of the extension of credit, and there was insufficient information regarding the vendor's past dealings with the candidate or the committee), with MUR 6141(Friends of Dave Reichert) (finding no reason to believe where the media vendor submitted a sworn statement that it followed its own past practice as well as industry practice in its credit arrangements with the committee, there was publicly available information regarding the vendor's ordinary course of business, and there was no available information to contradict respondents' contentions), and MUR 6023 (John McCain 2008) (finding no reason to believe where the committee and its vendor provided detailed documentation concerning a renegotiated debt, which accounted for the time delays and supported the respondents' contentions that the reduction of the committee's bill was done in a commercially reasonable manner).

See, e.g., MUR 5396 (Bauer for President 2000) (entering into conciliation agreement to resolve, *inter alia*, excessive and prohibited corporate contribution violations resulting from extensions of credit from three different vendors totaling over \$700,000 and owed for periods between 105 to 235 days) and MURs 5069 and 5132 (Accvedo Vila) (investigating matter involving \$655,896 in debt for media and advertisement costs, almost half of which remained outstanding over a year after the debt was initially incurred).

10

11

- 1 practices and would have made the same accommodations to similarly situated clients.
- 2 However, the apparent amount in question, \$12,000, is relatively modest and we have no
- 3 information suggesting that the amount involved was more substantial. Press reports and video
- 4 footage show that the event was held in the atrium of Trump Tower, 34 instead of a larger venue,
- 5 and that there were hundreds, not thousands, of attendees. 35 Therefore, we recommend that the
- 6 Commission exercise its prosecutorial discretion and dismiss the allegations that Gotham may
- 7 have made, and Trump and the Committee may have accepted, excessive or prohibited
- 8 contributions in connection with Gotham's services at the June event.
 - Likewise, there remain questions regarding the arrangements with Extra Mile. The record, however, indicates that the Committee paid \$12,000 to Gotham, and it is at least possible that Gotham used that money to pay its subvendors and employees, including Extra Mile.³⁶

See http://www.trumptowerny.com/trump-events-venues (stating that the atrium could accommodate 75 to 350 guests).

See Corcoran, supra n. 2; see also Carusone, supra n. 4 (publishing photo of "practically empty room"); Erik Durkin and Adam Edelman, Donald Trump Enters 2016 Presidential Race with Bizarre Speech Insulting Mexican Immigrants, Lambasting Obama, N.Y. DAILY NEWS (June 17, 2015), http://www.nydailynews.com/news/politics/donald-trump-entering-2016-presidential-race-article-1.2259706 (noting a "crowd that appeared to number in the hundreds"); Jeffrey Tomik, 2016 Presidential Announcements, Ranked by Entertainment Value, WASH. POST (June 17, 2015), https://www.washingtonpost.com/express/wp/2015/06/17/2016-presidential-announcements-ranked/ (stating that the Trump event was not a "big-budget announcement," noting no pyrotechnics, no musical guests and no grand entrance via helicopter). See also https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=TsFYmy1mvXM; http://www.dailymail.co.uk/news/article-3128230/Did-Donald-Trump-hire-PAID-ACTORS-presidential-campaign-launch-Claims-professionals-extras-brought-pose-supporters.html; http://abcnews.go.com/Politics/donald-trump-rode-escalator-2016-presidential-announcement/story?id=31801433.

The Complaint does not allege that the Committee's payment to Gotham was intended to conceal that Extra Mile was the true recipient of the payment in violation of 52 U.S.C. § 30104(b)(5), which requires committees to identify the name and address of the recipient of any expenditure in excess of \$200. The Commission has determined that merely reporting the immediate recipient of a committee's payment will not satisfy the requirements of 52 U.S.C. § 30104(b)(5) when the facts indicate that the immediate recipient is merely a conduit for the intended recipient of the funds. See, e.g., MUR 4872 (Jenkins) (finding that the committee made payments intended for a specific vendor through an unrelated vendor acting as an intermediary in order to avoid any association with the first vendor). Here, the Committee denies any association with Extra Mile, and Gotham acknowledges that it hired Extra Mile on its own for additional support for the event. There is nothing available to contradict those assertions. Indeed, it appears that the Gotham provided a broader range of services to the Committee in connection with the candidacy announcement event than Extra Mile did by providing paid attendees.

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

- 1 Accordingly, and for the same reasons as stated above, we recommend that the Commission
- 2 exercise its prosecutorial discretion and dismiss the allegations that Extra Mile made, and that
- 3 Trump and the Committee received, a prohibited corporate contribution in connection with the
- 4 services provided for the candidacy announcement event.

2. Failure to Report Debts and Obligations

The Act requires committee treasurers to file reports of disbursements in accordance with the provisions of 52 U.S.C § 30104(b).³⁷ The reports also must include the amount and nature of outstanding debts and obligations owed by or to the political committee.³⁸ Specifically, a debt or obligation exceeding \$500 shall be reported as of the date on which the debt or obligation is incurred.³⁹ If the exact amount of the debt or obligation is not known, the report shall state that the amount reported is an estimate.⁴⁰

Gotham provided services to the Committee at Trump's candidacy announcement event on June 16, 2015, but the Committee did not report any payments for those services to the Commission until more than seven months after the event, on its 2015 Year End Report filed on January 31, 2016. However, it appears that the Committee incurred a debt or obligation to Gotham on June 16, 2015, for the services it performed at the candidacy announcement, regardless of the payment arrangements it made with Gotham. Therefore, the Committee should have disclosed the debt on its 2015 July Quarterly Report. However, the Committee failed to

³⁷ 52 U.S.C. § 30104(a)(1).

⁵² U.S.C. § 30104(b)(8); 11 C.F.R. § 104.3(d) (indicating that outstanding debts must be reported on Schedule C or D as appropriate); see also 11 C.F.R. § 104.11(b) (requiring the continuous reporting of outstanding debts and obligations).

³⁹ 11 C.F.R. § 104.11(b).

Id. Once the exact amount is determined, the committee must either amend the report containing the estimate, or indicate the correct amount on the report for the reporting period in which such amount is determined. Id.

9

10

11 12 13

14 15

16 17

18 19

20 21

22

MUR 6961 (Donald J. Trump for President, Inc., et	al.)
First General Counsel's Report	·
Page 12 of 13	

- 1 report a debt to Gotham on any of its reports, including its 2015 July and October Quarterly
- 2 Reports.
- The amount in violation, however, does not warrant the additional use of Commission
- 4 resources in this matter. In fact, the \$12,000 debt would not be referable under the Reports
- 5 Analysis Division's ("RAD") thresholds. 41 However, the Committee should be cautioned
- 6 against similar violations. Therefore, we recommend that the Commission dismiss the reporting
- 7 allegations and send a caution letter to the Committee.

III. RECOMMENDATIONS

- 1. Dismiss the allegation that Gotham Government Relations & Communications LLC, Donald J. Trump, and Donald J. Trump for President, Inc. and Timothy Jost in his official capacity as treasurer, violated 52 U.S.C. §§ 30116(a) and 30118(a).
- 2. Dismiss the allegation that Extra Mile Inc., Donald J. Trump, and Donald J. Trump for President, Inc. and Timothy Jost in his official capacity as treasurer violated 52 U.S.C. § 30118(a) in connection with allegations regarding Extra Mile, Inc.
- 3. Dismiss the allegation that Donald J. Trump for President, Inc. and Timothy Jost in his official capacity as treasurer violated 52 U.S.C. § 30104(b)(8), and send a caution letter.
- 4. Approve the attached Factual and Legal Analyses;
- 5. Approve the appropriate letters; and

See Reports Analysis Division Review and Referral Procedures for the 2015-2016 Election Cycle for Unauthorized Committee, Title 52 Authorized Committees and Title 26 Authorized Committees. Omitted debts are handled under Standard 7 of the thresholds. See id., Standard 17: Failure to Itemize Debts at 127. Under Standard 7, RAD will refer a matter to the Office of General Counsel for omitted schedules when the committee does not respond or responds inadequately to an RFAI and the total of all omitted schedules exceeds per report. See id., at 73.

Attachments:

6. Close the entire file.

Deputy Associate General Counsel for Enforcement 9. Peter G. Blumberg Assistant General Counsel Ana J. Peña-Wallace Attorney

2- Factual and Legal Analysis for Gotham Government Relations & Communications LLC

1-Factual and Legal Analysis for Dönald J. Trump and Committee

3-Factual and Legal Analysis for Extra Mile, Inc.

1	FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION				
2	FACTUAL AND LEGAL ANALYSIS				
3					
4 5 6 7	RESPONDENTS: Donald J. Trump MUR: 6961 Donald J. Trump for President, Inc. and Timothy Jost in his official capacity as treasurer				
8 -	I. INTRODUCTION				
9	This matter was generated by a complaint filed with the Federal Election Commission				
10	(the "Commission"). See 52 U.S.C. § 30109(a)(l). The Complaint alleges that Donald J. Trump				
[1	for President, Inc. ("Committee") paid actors to attend Trump's candidacy announcement on				
12	June 16, 2015, but failed to disclose payments to those actors or to the companies that hired				
13	them. Thus, the Complaint alleges that the Committee violated the reporting provisions of the				
14	Federal Election Campaign Act of 1971, as amended ("the Act") and may have also accepted				
15	prohibited or excessive contributions from two companies involved with hiring the actors,				
16	Gotham Government Relations & Communications LLC ("Gotham") and Extra Mile, Inc.				
17	("Extra Mile"). The Committee denies that it committed any violation. The Committee states				
18	that it paid Gotham \$12,000 for its services on October 8, 2015, and denies any connection with				
19	Extra Mile, which allegedly hired the actors. The Committee further states that this payment				
20	would be disclosed on its 2015 Year-End Report, the next report it was scheduled to file with the				
21	Commission. Gotham explains that it hired Extra Mile as a subcontractor to provide				
22	administrative support at Trump's announcement.				
23	The information in the record is consistent with Respondents' factual explanations, but it				
24.	is also clear that the Committee did not pay Gotham for its services for almost four months after				
25	the event, and did not report the transaction for more than seven months after the event. Thus,				

ATTACHMENT 1 PAGE 1 of 12

MUR 6961 (Donald J. Trump for President, Inc., et al.) Factual and Legal Analysis Page 2

- 1 Gotham's apparent extension of credit to the Committee for the services rendered at the June
- 2 candidacy announcement may constitute an excessive or prohibited contribution, and the
- 3 Committee failed to report the amount it owed Gotham as a debt. However, because of the
- 4 seemingly modest amount at issue, the Commission exercises its prosecutorial discretion and
- dismisses the allegation that Trump and the Committee accepted an excessive or prohibited
- 6 contribution from Gotham, dismisses the allegation that Trump and the Committee received a
- 7 prohibited contribution from Extra Mile, and dismisses with caution the Committee's violation
- 8 for failure to report a debt.

9 II. FACTUAL AND LEGAL ANALYSIS

. A. Factual Background

- Donald J. Trump is a candidate for the Republican Presidential nomination in 2016.
- 12 Trump announced his candidacy at an event held at Trump Tower in New York City on June 16,
- 2015, and filed his Statement of Candidacy with the Commission on June 22, 2015. Based
- largely on press reports, the Complaint alleges that the Committee hired actors to be part of the
- audience at Trump's candidacy announcement and failed to report the related transactions.²

The Committee filed a Statement of Organization with the Commission on June 29, 2015. See also Donald Trump Presidential Announcement, TRUMP WEBSITE, http://www.donaldjtrump.com/media/donald-trump-presidential-announcement (last visited Jan. 28, 2016); Ben Terris, Donald Trump begins 2016 bid, citing outsider status, WASH. POST (June 16, 2015), https://www.washingtonpost.com/politics/donald-trump-is-now-a-candidate-for-president-of-the-united-states/2015/06/16/5e6d738e-1441-11e5-9ddc-e3353542100c_story.html.

See Compl. at 2 (citing Aaron Couch & Emmet McDermott, Donald Trump Campaign Offered Actors \$50 to Cheer for Him at Presidential Announcement, THE HOLLYWOOD REPORTER (June 17, 2015), http://www.hollywoodreporter.com/news/donald-trump-campaign-offered-actors-803161 and Kieran Corcoran, Donald Trump accused of hiring ACTORS for \$50 each to pose as supporters at Trump Towers presidential campaign launch, Daily Mail (June 17, 2015), http://www.dailymail.co.uk/news/article-3128230/Did-Donald-Trump-hire-PAID-ACTORS-presidential-campaign-launch-Claims-professionals-extras-brought-pose-supporters.html). The practice of hiring crowds to attend political events appears to be common. See Dan Schneider, I-800-HIRE-A-CROWD, ATLANTIC (Jul. 22, 2015), http://www.theatlantic.com/business/archive/2015/07/crowd-hiring-politics-campaign-2016/399002/ (identifying "Extra Mile Casting" as an example of a company that offers rental crowd services).

- 1 According to press reports cited in the Complaint, Extra Mile issued a casting call e-mail for
- 2 actors "to wear t-shirts and carry signs and help cheer [Trump] in support of his announcement"
- 3 in exchange for a payment of \$50. One cited article from *The Hollywood Reporter* purports to
- 4 reprint a redacted version of that e-mail, which reads as follows:³

Hi there—We are working helping one of are [sic] associates out at Gotham GR-http://gothamgr.com/ with a big event happening on TUESDAY 6/16/15. This is an event in support of Donald Trump and an upcoming exciting announcement he will be making at this event. This event is called "People for a Stronger America." The entire group is a pro-small business group that is dedicated to encouraging Donald Trump and his latest ventures. This event will be televised. We are looking to cast people for the event to wear t-shirts and carry signs and help cheer him in support of his announcement. We understand this is not a traditional "background job," but we believe acting comes in all forms and this is inclusive of that school of thought. This event is happening LIVE and will be from 8:45AM-11:30AM. LESS THAN 3 HOURS. This will take place inside / interior. The rate for this is: \$50 CASH at the end of the event. We would love to book you if you are interested and still available. Please let us know and we will get back to you with confirmation.

18 19 20

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

The Hollywood Reporter article includes photographs purportedly showing two actors

- 21 (Domenico Del Giacco and Courtney Klotz) wearing campaign t-shirts and holding campaign
- 22 signs at the event. Another press report indicated that campaign staff also persuaded tourists in
- 23 the area to be part of the crowd.⁵

Compl. at 2, (citing Couch, *supra* note 2).

Couch, supra note 2; see also http://www.imdb.com/name/nm7401575/?ref_=fn_al_nm_l and http://www.imdb.com/find?ref_=nv_sr_fn&q=courtney+klotz&s=all (listing bios for both actors). According to the press reports cited in the Complaint, the original source of these allegations appears to be an anti-Trump blogger named Angelo Carusone, who located the photographs of the actors at the Trump event through social media sites. See Angelo Carusone, Donald Trump Hired Paid Actors to Attend Presidential Launch Event, @GOANGELO (June 16, 2015), https://medium.com/@GoAngelo/donald-trump-hired-paid-actors-to-attend-presidential-launch-event-7c65e8fadea0#.fhwsov956 (last visited Jan. 28, 2016). Carusone states that a source verified that the actors in these photographs were indeed paid to attend the Trump event. Id.

Terris, supra note 1 (stating that a campaign staff member offered free t-shirts to passersby who agreed to attend the event).

1 Extra Mile is a New York corporation and Gotham is a New York limited liability company.⁶ On its website, Extra Mile describes itself as a casting agency founded "to target the 2 3 abundant need of casting directors booking background talent in the New York City Metropolitan area." Extra Mile's website lists "Gotham GR" as a client but does not list Trump or his 4 Committee. According to Gotham's website, it is a "government relations firm" whose clients 5 include various profit and non-profit entities. The Trump Organization, a limited liability 6 company headed by Trump as its Chairman and President, 10 is listed on Gotham's website as a 7 8 client, but the Committee is not. Gotham's YouTube channel, however, does include a two-9 minute video called "trump announce 061615" that it produced, which consists of a montage of footage taken at Trump's announcement event. 11 The video shows camera crews setting up, 10 supporters holding campaign signs and making positive statements about Trump, a person 11 encouraging a crowd to chant and cheer for Trump, and a portion of Trump's speech.¹² 12 The Complaint alleges violations of the Act because the Committee's 2015 July Quarterly 13

Report, which covers the period from April 1 through June 30, 2015, did not disclose any

See New York Department of State, Division of Corporations, State Records & UCC, http://www.dos.ny.gov/corps/bus_entity_search.html.

http://www.extramilenyc.com/document/who-we-are.html.

http://www.extramilenyc.com/document/clients.html.

⁹ http://gothamgr.com/.

See New York Department of State, Division of Corporations, State Records & UCC, https://appext20.dos.ny.gov/corp_public/CORPSEARCH.ENTITY_INFORMATION?p_nameid=2447088&p_corpid=2405651&p_entity_name=trump&p_name_type=A&p_search_type=BEGINS&p_srch_results_page=. Trump is listed as Chairman and President on The Trump Organization website. See http://www.trump.com/biography/.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=TsFYmy1mvXM.

¹² *Id.*

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

1.8

MUR 6961 (Donald J. Trump för President, Inc., et al.) Factual and Legal Analysis Page 5

- 1 payments to Extra Mile, Gotham, or either of the identified actors, even though it appeared that
- 2 they had provided services to the Committee related to the event. 13 According to the Complaint,
- 3 because Extra Mile paid the actors directly, the Committee should have reimbursed Extra Mile
- 4 for those payments. 14 Likewise, the Complainant argues, Committee reports should have
- 5 disclosed a disbursement to Gotham because Extra Mile's casting call stated that Gotham hired it
- 6 to recruit the actors. 15

The Committee denies the allegations. The Committee states that it did not retain Extra

Mile, had "no knowledge" of that firm, and did not hire or authorize hiring actors.

It further

9 explains that it hired Gotham to perform services for which it paid \$12,000 on October 8, 2015,

which it claims was "within a commercially reasonable time." The Committee does not

describe the services Gotham performed or state why it paid the firm for these services almost

four months after the event. According to the response, the Committee planned to disclose the

payment to Gotham on its 2015 Year End Report due on January 31, 2016, which it did, listing

"Event Consultant" as the purpose for the disbursement.

Available information indicates that Gotham provided services at Trump's announcement event as part of its communication and media practice, for which it was paid \$12,000 on October 8, 2015. The information indicates that Gotham had no knowledge about the actors being hired but did retain Extra Mile to help it provide "administrative staff" for Trump's announcement.

Compl. at 3.

¹⁴ Id. at 4.

¹⁵ *Id.* at 5.

Comm. Resp. at 1, 3.

¹⁷ Id. at 2-3.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

MUR 6961 (Donald J. Trump for President, Inc., et al.) Factual and Legal Analysis Page 6

- 1 According to available information, Gotham may not have had knowledge regarding the specific
- 2 individuals Extra Mile hired. Additionally, in The Hollywood Reporter article, a representative
- 3 for Extra Mile denied knowing about the e-mail casting call. 18

B. Legal Analysis

1. Excessive and Prohibited Contributions

The Act prohibits contributions from an individual to a candidate or an authorized committee in excess of \$2,700 per election.¹⁹ The Act also prohibits corporations from making contributions to candidates or their committees.²⁰ A "contribution" includes "any direct or indirect payment, distribution, loan, advance, deposit, or gift of money, or any services, or anything of value." "Anything of value" includes in-kind contributions, such as the provision of goods or services without charge, or at a charge less than the usual and normal charge.²² A commercial vendor may extend credit to a candidate, a political committee or another person on behalf of a candidate or political committee provided that the credit is extended in the ordinary course of the vendor's business and the terms are substantially similar to extensions of credit to nonpolitical debtors that are of similar risk and size of obligation.²³ Depending on the

Couch, supra note 2.

¹⁹ 52 U.S.C. § 30116(a); 11 C.F.R. § 110.1(b).

²⁰ 52 U.S.C. § 30118(a); 11 C.F.R. § 114.2(a).

⁵² U.S.C. § 30118(b)(2); see also id. § 30101(8)(A)(i); 11 C.F.R. § 100.52(a).

²² 11 C.F.R. § 100.52(d)(1).

¹¹ C.F.R. § 116.3(a). See also 11 C.F.R. § 116.3(b) (nearly identical rule regarding extensions of credit by corporate commercial vendors). In assessing whether a commercial vendor extended credit in the ordinary course of business, and thus did not make a contribution, the Commission will consider: (1) whether the commercial vendor followed its established procedures and its past practice in approving the extension of credit; (2) whether the commercial vendor received prompt payment in full if it previously extended credit to the same candidate or political committee; and (3) whether the extension of credit conformed to the usual and normal practice in the commercial vendor's trade. 11 C.F.R. § 116.3(c).

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

circumstances under which an extension of credit is made, such an extension may, or may not,

constitute a contribution.

The Commission has interpreted "anything of value" broadly under the Act, focusing on whether the goods and services at issue provided a benefit to the candidate or committee that received them.²⁴ We believe that the service at issue here — assembling a crowd of attendees for a candidacy announcement — provided a benefit to the Trump campaign.²⁵ It is not uncommon for campaigns to hire companies or casting agencies like Extra Mile to generate a crowd at an event.²⁶ In this matter, the available information indicates that the Committee retained Gotham as an event consultant, and Gotham, in turn, subcontracted with Extra Mile to provide extra administrative support at Trump's announcement, including the provision of at least some of the rally crowd.

The Complaint alleges that Trump and the Committee failed to pay Gotham or Extra Mile for the services they provided at Trump's candidacy announcement. While there had been no such payment at the time the Complaint was filed, the Committee did pay Gotham \$12,000 about

For example, the Commission has found that stocks and commodities, an activist's contact list, and the production elements for a benefit concert — including the services of an orchestra, gospel choir, talent assistants, make-up and hair artists, and publicists, would be considered contributions. See Advisory Op. 2000-30 (pac.com) (stock); First Gen. Counsel's Rpt. at 10, MUR 5409 (Grover Norquist, et al.) (Aug. 31, 2004) (finding reason to believe that master contact list of activists was something of value under Act even though it lacked commercial or market value and despite difficulty in quantifying its precise worth); PC Brief at 7-8, MUR 5225 (New York Senate 2000) (July 5, 2005) (probable cause finding by Comm'n Oct. 20, 2005) (detailing approximately \$395,000 worth of in-kind contributions related to joint fundraising concert event that were unreported).

See, e.g., First Gen. Counsel's Rpt. at 12-13, 17-18, 22, MUR 6651 (Murray Energy Corp.) (collecting cases regarding the meaning of "anything of value," recommending that the Commission find that assembling a crowd for a political rally would be a thing of value, but also recommending dismissal). Our Office also recommended that the Commission find no reason to believe that the corporation facilitated contributions related to the rally, but ultimately the Commission was equally divided over how to proceed and closed the file. Certification, MUR 6651 (June 18, 2015).

See, Schneider, supra note 2, and Extra Mile's website, http://www.extramilenyc.com/document/who-we-are.html.

MUR 6961 (Donald J. Trump for President, Inc., et al.) Factual and Legal Analysis Page 8

- a month later, in early October, for unspecified services. Despite the payment, however, the
- 2 allegations still raise the question whether Gotham's apparent four-month extension of credit
- 3 became a contribution to the Committee, or whether Extra Mile made a prohibited corporate
- 4 contribution. But there is limited information to make such determinations.
- First, there is no information to help determine if the \$12,000 the Committee paid for
- 6 Gotham's services was "usual and normal." The only information before the Commission about
- 7 the services Gotham provided are a check and the entry on the Committee's Year-End report
- 8 describing Gotham as an "Event Consultant." And while Gotham has alluded to "staffing" the
- 9 event, the Committee's response merely states that Gotham provided "services," without any
- 10 more detail.
- Similarly, the record is scant as to whether Gotham extended credit to the Committee
- outside the ordinary course of business.²⁷ The Commission has typically decided whether an
- extension of credit resulted in an in-kind contribution based upon an analysis of whether a vendor
- 14 followed its ordinary course of business and whether the payment was made in a commercially

As a limited liability company, Gotham may be subject to the prohibition against corporate contributions, depending on whether it elects to be treated as a partnership or corporation by the Internal Revenue Service.

11 C.F.R. 110.1(g). If treated as a partnership, it is possible that Gotham made an excessive in-kind contribution to the Committee in violation of 52 U.S.C. § 30116 when it allowed the Committee almost four months to pay for its services. If Gotham elected tax treatment as a corporation, it may have violated 52 U.S.C. § 30118(a) if allowing the Committee four months to pay was not commercially reasonable.

MUR 6961 (Donald J. Trump for President, Inc., et al.) Factual and Legal Analysis Page 9

- reasonable time.²⁸ The Commission has usually investigated extensions of credit involving substantial amounts in violation and lengthy repayment periods.²⁹
- 3 Here, the record is clear that the Committee paid Gotham almost four months after the 4 event, and more than a month after the complaint was filed. But there is no available information 5 supporting the Committee's assertion that the time it took to make the \$12,000 payment to 6 Gotham was commercially reasonable, or that Gotham followed its established credit practices 7 and would have made the same accommodations to similarly situated clients. However, the 8 apparent amount in question, \$12,000, is relatively modest and we have no information 9 suggesting that the amount involved was more substantial. Press reports and video footage show that the event was held in the atrium of Trump Tower, 30 instead of a larger venue, and that there 10

Compare MURs 5069 and 5132 (Acevedo Vila) (authorizing an investigation where the record contained conflicting information about whether it was normal industry practice for advertising agencies to advance the money for media buys to campaigns, there did not appear to be a written agreement specifying the terms of the extension of credit, and there was insufficient information regarding the vendor's past dealings with the candidate or the committee), with MUR 6141(Friends of Dave Reichert) (finding no reason to believe where the media vendor submitted a sworn statement that it followed its own past practice as well as industry practice in its credit arrangements with the committee, there was publicly available information regarding the vendor's ordinary course of business, and there was no available information to contradict respondents' contentions), and MUR 6023 (John McCain 2008) (finding no reason to believe where the committee and its vendor provided detailed documentation concerning a renegotiated debt, which accounted for the time delays and supported the respondents' contentions that the reduction of the committee's bill was done in a commercially reasonable manner).

See, e.g., MUR 5396 (Bauer for President 2000) (entering into conciliation agreement to resolve, inter alia, excessive and prohibited corporate contribution violations resulting from extensions of credit from three different vendors totaling over \$700,000 and owed for periods between 105 to 235 days) and MURs 5069 and 5132 (Acevedo Vila) (investigating matter involving \$655,896 in debt for media and advertisement costs, almost half of which remained outstanding over a year after the debt was initially incurred).

See http://www.trumptowerny.com/trump-events-venues (stating that the atrium could accommodate 75 to 350 guests).

- 1 were hundreds, not thousands, of attendees.³¹ Therefore, the Commission has decided to
- 2 exercise its prosecutorial discretion and dismiss the allegations that Trump and the Committee
- 3 may have accepted excessive or prohibited contributions in connection with Gotham's services at
- 4 the June event.
- 5 Likewise, there remain questions regarding the arrangements with Extra Mile. The
- 6 record, however, indicates that the Committee paid \$12,000 to Gotham, and it is at least possible
- 7 that Gotham used that money to pay its subvendors and employees, including Extra Mile.³²
- 8 Accordingly, and for the same reasons as stated above, the Commission has decided to exercise
- 9 its prosecutorial discretion and dismiss the allegations that Trump and the Committee received a
- prohibited corporate contribution from Extra Mile in connection with the services provided for
- 11 the candidacy announcement event.

¹²

See Corcoran, supra n. 2; see also Carusone, supra n. 4 (publishing photo of "practically empty room"); Erik Durkin and Adam Edelman, Donald Trump Enters 2016 Presidential Race with Bizarre Speech Insulting Mexican Immigrants, Lambasting Obama, N.Y. DAILY NEWS (June 17, 2015), http://www.nydailynews.com/news/politics/donald-trump-entering-2016-presidential-race-article-1.2259706 (noting a "crowd that appeared to number in the hundreds"); Jeffrey Tomik, 2016 Presidential Announcements, Ranked by Entertainment Value, WASH. POST (June 17, 2015), https://www.washingtonpost.com/express/wp/2015/06/17/2016-presidential-announcements-ranked/ (stating that the Trump event was not a "big-budget announcement," noting no pyrotechnics, no musical guests and no grand entrance via helicopter). See also https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=TsFYmy1mvXM; http://www.dailymail.co.uk/news/article-3128230/Did-Donald-Trump-hire-PAID-ACTORS-presidential-campaign-launch-Claims-professionals-extras-brought-pose-supporters.html; http://abcnews.go.com/Politics/donald-trump-rode-escalator-2016-presidential-announcement/story?id=31801433.

The Complaint does not allege that the Committee's payment to Gotham was intended to conceal that Extra Mile was the true recipient of the payment in violation of 52 U.S.C. § 30104(b)(5), which requires committees to identify the name and address of the recipient of any expenditure in excess of \$200. The Commission has determined that merely reporting the immediate recipient of a committee's payment will not satisfy the requirements of 52 U.S.C. § 30104(b)(5) when the facts indicate that the immediate recipient is merely a conduit for the intended recipient of the funds. See, e.g., MUR 4872 (Jenkins) (finding that the committee made payments intended for a specific vendor through an unrelated vendor acting as an intermediary in order to avoid any association with the first vendor). Here, the Committee denies any association with Extra Mile, and Gotham acknowledges that it hired Extra Mile on its own for additional support for the event. There is nothing available to contradict those assertions. Indeed, it appears that the Gotham provided a broader range of services to the Committee in connection with the candidacy announcement event than Extra Mile did by providing paid attendees.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

2. Failure to Report Debts and Obligations

The Act requires committee treasurers to file reports of disbursements in accordance with the provisions of 52 U.S.C § 30104(b).³³ The reports also must include the amount and nature of outstanding debts and obligations owed by or to the political committee.³⁴ Specifically, a debt or obligation exceeding \$500 shall be reported as of the date on which the debt or obligation is incurred.³⁵ If the exact amount of the debt or obligation is not known, the report shall state that the amount reported is an estimate.³⁶

On June 16, 2015, but the Committee did not report any payments for those services to the Commission until more than seven months after the event, on its 2015 Year End Report filed on January 31, 2016. However, it appears that the Committee incurred a debt or obligation to Gotham on June 16, 2015, for the services it performed at the candidacy announcement, regardless of the payment arrangements it made with Gotham. Therefore, the Committee should have disclosed the debt on its 2015 July Quarterly Report. However, the Committee failed to report a debt to Gotham on any of its reports, including its 2015 July and October Quarterly Reports.

³³ 52 U.S.C. § 30104(a)(1).

⁵² U.S.C. § 30104(b)(8); 11 C.F.R. § 104.3(d) (indicating that outstanding debts must be reported on Schedule C or D as appropriate); see also 11 C.F.R. § 104.11(b) (requiring the continuous reporting of outstanding debts and obligations).

³⁵ 11 C.F.R. § 104.11(b).

Id. Once the exact amount is determined, the committee must either amend the report containing the estimate, or indicate the correct amount on the report for the reporting period in which such amount is determined.
Id.

MUR 6961 (Donald J. Trump for President, Inc., et al.) Factual and Legal Analysis
Page 12

- The amount in violation does not warrant the additional use of Commission resources in
- 2 this matter. However, the Committee should be cautioned against similar violations. Therefore,
- 3 the Commission dismisses the reporting allegations with a caution letter to the Committee.

FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION

FACTUAL AND LEGAL ANALYSIS

3

2

Ì

4 **RESPONDENTS:** Gotham Government Relations MUR: 6961 5

& Communications LLC

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

I. INTRODUCTION

This matter was generated by a complaint filed with the Federal Election Commission (the "Commission"). See 52 U.S.C. § 30109(a)(l). The Complaint alleges that Donald J. Trump for President, Inc. ("Committee") paid actors to attend Trump's candidacy announcement on June 16, 2015, but payments to those actors or to the companies that hired them were not disclosed. Thus, the Complaint alleges that Gotham Government Relations & Communications LLC ("Gotham") violated the Federal Election Campaign Act of 1971, as amended ("the Act") by making a prohibited or excessive contribution to the Committee. Gotham denies it committed any violation. Available information indicates that the Committee paid Gotham \$12,000 for its services on October 8, 2015, and that Extra Mile, Inc. ("Extra Mile") allegedly hired the actors. Gotham explains that it hired Extra Mile as a subcontractor to provide administrative support at Trump's announcement.

The information in the record is consistent with Respondent's factual explanations, but it is also clear that the Committee did not pay Gotham for its services for almost four months after the event, a payment that was not reported to the Commission for more than seven months after the event. Thus, Gotham's apparent extension of credit to the Committee for the services rendered at the June candidacy announcement may constitute an excessive or prohibited contribution. However, because of the seemingly modest amount at issue, the Commission has

- 1 decided to exercise its prosecutorial discretion and dismisses the allegation that Gotham made an
- 2 excessive or prohibited contribution to Trump and the Committee.

II. FACTUAL AND LEGAL ANALYSIS

4 A. Factual Background

5 Donald J. Trump is a candidate for the Republican Presidential nomination in 2016.

- 6 Trump announced his candidacy at an event held at Trump Tower in New York City on June 16,
- 7 2015, and filed his Statement of Candidacy with the Commission on June 22, 2015. Based
- 8 largely on press reports, the Complaint alleges that the Committee hired actors to be part of the
- 9 audience at Trump's candidacy announcement and failed to report the related transactions.²
- 10 According to press reports cited in the Complaint, Extra Mile issued a casting call e-mail for
- actors "to wear t-shirts and carry signs and help cheer [Trump] in support of his announcement"
- in exchange for a payment of \$50. One cited article from The Hollywood Reporter purports to
- reprint a redacted version of that e-mail, which reads as follows:³

Hi there—We are working helping one of are [sic] associates out at Gotham GR http://gothamgr.com/ with a big event happening on TUESDAY 6/16/15. This is

The Committee filed a Statement of Organization with the Commission on June 29, 2015. See also Donald Trump Presidential Announcement, TRUMP WEBSITE, http://www.donaldjtrump.com/media/donald-trump-presidential-announcement (last visited Jan. 28, 2016); Ben Terris, Donald Trump begins 2016 bid, citing outsider status, WASH. POST (June 16, 2015), https://www.washingtonpost.com/politics/donald-trump-is-now-a-candidate-for-president-of-the-united-states/2015/06/16/5e6d738e-1441-11e5-9ddc-c3353542100c_story.html.

See Compl. at 2 (citing Aaron Couch & Emmet McDermott, Donald Trump Campaign Offered Actors \$50 to Cheer for Him at Presidential Announcement, THE HOLLYWOOD REPORTER (June 17, 2015), http://www.hollywoodreporter.com/news/donald-trump-campaign-offered-actors-803161 and Kieran Corcoran, Donald Trump accused of hiring ACTORS for \$50 each to pose as supporters at Trump Towers presidential campaign launch, Daily Mail (June 17, 2015), http://www.dailymail.co.uk/news/article-3128230/Did-Donald-Trump-hire-PAID-ACTORS-presidential-campaign-launch-Claims-professionals-extras-brought-pose-supporters.html). The practice of hiring crowds to attend political events appears to be common. See Dan Schneider, I-800-HIRE-A-CROWD, ATLANTIC (Jul. 22, 2015), http://www.theatlantic.com/business/archive/2015/07/crowd-hiring-politics-campaign-2016/399002/ (identifying "Extra Mile Casting" as an example of a company that offers rental crowd services).

Compl. at 2, (citing Couch, supra note 2).

2

3

4

5

6

7

8.

9

10

11

12

13

16

18

19

20

21

an event in support of Donald Trump and an upcoming exciting announcement he will be making at this event. This event is called "People for a Stronger America." The entire group is a pro-small business group that is dedicated to encouraging Donald Trump and his latest ventures. This event will be televised. We are looking to cast people for the event to wear t-shirts and carry signs and help cheer him in support of his announcement. We understand this is not a traditional "background job," but we believe acting comes in all forms and this is inclusive of that school of thought. This event is happening LIVE and will be from 8:45AM-11:30AM. LESS THAN 3 HOURS. This will take place inside / interior. The rate for this is: \$50 CASH at the end of the event. We would love to book you if you are interested and still available. Please let us know and we will get back to you with confirmation.

14 The Hollywood Reporter article includes photographs purportedly showing two actors

15 (Domenico Del Giacco and Courtney Klotz) wearing campaign t-shirts and holding campaign

signs at the event.⁴ Another press report indicated that campaign staff also persuaded tourists in

17 the area to be part of the crowd.⁵

Extra Mile is a New York corporation and Gotham is a New York limited liability company.⁶ On its website, Extra Mile describes itself as a casting agency founded "to target the abundant need of casting directors booking background talent in the New York City Metropolitan area." Extra Mile's website lists "Gotham GR" as a client but does not list Trump or his

Couch, supra note 2; see also http://www.imdb.com/name/nm7401575/?ref_=fn_al_nm_l and http://www.imdb.com/find?ref_=nv_sr_fn&q=courtney+klotz&s=all (listing bios for both actors). According to the press reports cited in the Complaint, the original source of these allegations appears to be an anti-Trump blogger named Angelo Carusone, who located the photographs of the actors at the Trump event through social media sites. See Angelo Carusone, Donald Trump Hired Paid Actors to Attend Presidential Launch Event, @GOANGELO (June 16, 2015), https://medium.com/@GoAngelo/donald-trump-hired-paid-actors-to-attend-presidential-launch-event-7c65e8fadea0#.fhwsov956 (last visited Jan. 28, 2016). Carusone states that a source verified that the actors in these photographs were indeed paid to attend the Trump event. Id.

Terris, *supr* a note 1 (stating that a campaign staff member offered free t-shirts to passersby who agreed to attend the event).

See New York Department of State, Division of Corporations, State Records & UCC, http://www.dos.ny.gov/corps/bus_entity_search.html.

http://www.extramilenyc.com/document/who-we-are.html.

MUR 6961 (Gotham Government Relations & Communications LLC) Factual and Legal Analysis
Page 4

- 1 Committee. According to Gotham's website, it is a "government relations firm" whose clients
- 2 include various profit and non-profit entities. The Trump Organization, a limited liability
- 3 company headed by Trump as its Chairman and President, 10 is listed on Gotham's website as a
- 4 client, but the Committee is not. Gotham's YouTube channel, however, does include a two-
- 5 minute video called "trump announce 061615" that it produced, which consists of a montage of
- 6 footage taken at Trump's announcement event. 11 The video shows camera crews setting up,
- 7 supporters holding campaign signs and making positive statements about Trump, a person
- 8 encouraging a crowd to chant and cheer for Trump, and a portion of Trump's speech. 12
- 9 The Complaint alleges violations of the Act because the Committee's 2015 July Quarterly
- Report, which covers the period from April 1 through June 30, 2015, did not disclose any
- payments to Extra Mile, Gotham, or either of the identified actors, even though it appeared that
- 12 they had provided services to the Committee related to the event. 13 According to the Complaint,
- because Extra Mile paid the actors directly, the Committee should have reimbursed Extra Mile
- 14 for those payments.¹⁴ Likewise, the Complainant argues, Committee reports should have

http://www.extramilenyc.com/document/clients.html.

http://gothamgr.com/.

See New York Department of State, Division of Corporations, State Records & UCC, https://appext20.dos.ny.gov/corp_public/CORPSEARCH.ENTITY_INFORMATION?p_nameid=2447088&p_corpid=2405651&p_entity_name=trump&p_name_type=A&p_search_type=BEGINS&p_srch_results_page=. Trump is listed as Chairman and President on The Trump Organization website. See http://www.trump.com/biography/.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=TsFYmy1mvXM.

¹² *Id*.

Compl. at 3.

¹⁴ Id. at 4.

13

14

15

16

- disclosed a disbursement to Gotham because Extra Mile's casting call stated that Gotham hired it
 to recruit the actors. 15
- 3 According to available information, the Committee has stated that it did not retain Extra Mile, had "no knowledge" of that firm, and did not hire or authorize hiring actors. It appears that 4 the Committee hired Gotham to perform services for which it paid \$12,000 on October 8, 2015, 5 which the Respondent states was "within a commercially reasonable time." There is no 6 7 available information on the specific services that Gotham performed or an explanation why the 8. Committee paid the firm for these services almost four months after the event. According to Gotham, the Committee planned to disclose the payment to Gotham on its 2015 Year End Report 9 due on January 31, 2016, which it did, listing "Event Consultant" as the purpose for the 10 11 disbursement.
 - Gotham denies the allegations, stating that it provided services at Trump's announcement event "[a]s part of its communication and media practice," for which it was paid \$12,000 on October 8, 2015. To Gotham attached a copy of the check to its response. Gotham also denies hiring actors but acknowledges retaining Extra Mile to help it provide "administrative staff" for Trump's announcement. Gotham avers, however, that it had no knowledge regarding the

¹⁵ Id. at 5.

Gotham Resp at 1.

¹⁷ Id. at 1-2.

¹⁸ Id., Attach.

¹⁹ *Id.* at 3.

- 1 specific individuals Extra Mile hired.²⁰ In *The Hollywood Reporter* article, a representative for
- 2 Extra Mile denied knowing about the e-mail casting call.²¹

3 B. Legal Analysis

4 The Act prohibits contributions from an individual to a candidate or an authorized committee in excess of \$2,700 per election.²² The Act also prohibits corporations from making 5 contributions to candidates or their committees.²³ A "contribution" includes "any direct or 6 7 indirect payment, distribution, loan, advance, deposit, or gift of money, or any services, or anything of value." "Anything of value" includes in-kind contributions, such as the provision of 8 goods or services without charge, or at a charge less than the usual and normal charge.²⁵ A 9 10 commercial vendor may extend credit to a candidate, a political committee or another person on behalf of a candidate or political committee provided that the credit is extended in the ordinary 11 12 course of the vendor's business and the terms are substantially similar to extensions of credit to nonpolitical debtors that are of similar risk and size of obligation.²⁶ Depending on the 13

Id. Both the Committee and Gotham also argue that the Complaint was speculative and should be dismissed because it served as "nothing more than an attempt to shift the burden to the Respondents through the use of innuendo and conjecture." Comm. Resp. at 2; Gotham Resp. at 2.

Couch, supra note 2.

²² 52 U.S.C. § 30116(a); 11 C.F.R. § 110.1(b).

²³ 52 U.S.C. § 30118(a); 11 C.F.R. § 114.2(a).

⁵² U.S.C. § 30118(b)(2); see also id. § 30101(8)(A)(i); 11 C.F.R. § 100.52(a).

²⁵ 11 C.F.R. § 100.52(d)(1):

¹¹ C.F.R. § 116.3(a). See also 11 C.F.R. § 116.3(b) (nearly identical rule regarding extensions of credit by corporate commercial vendors). In assessing whether a commercial vendor extended credit in the ordinary course of business, and thus did not make a contribution, the Commission will consider: (1) whether the commercial vendor followed its established procedures and its past practice in approving the extension of credit; (2) whether the commercial vendor received prompt payment in full if it previously extended credit to the same candidate or political committee; and (3) whether the extension of credit conformed to the usual and normal practice in the commercial vendor's trade. 11 C.F.R. § 116.3(c).

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

constitute a contribution.

1 circumstances under which an extension of credit is made, such an extension may, or may not,

The Commission has interpreted "anything of value" broadly under the Act, focusing on whether the goods and services at issue provided a benefit to the candidate or committee that received them.²⁷ We believe that the service at issue here — assembling a crowd of attendees for a candidacy announcement — provided a benefit to the Trump campaign.²⁸ It is not uncommon for campaigns to hire companies or casting agencies like Extra Mile to generate a crowd at an event.²⁹ In this matter, the available information indicates that the Committee retained Gotham as an event consultant, and Gotham, in turn, subcontracted with Extra Mile to provide extra administrative support at Trump's announcement, including the provision of at least some of the rally crowd.

The Complaint alleges that Gotham did not receive payment for the services it provided at Trump's candidacy announcement. While there had been no such payment at the time the Complaint was filed, the Committee did pay Gotham \$12,000 about a month later, in early

For example, the Commission has found that stocks and commodities, an activist's contact list, and the production elements for a benefit concert — including the services of an orchestra, gospel choir, talent assistants, make-up and hair artists, and publicists, would be considered contributions. See Advisory Op. 2000-30 (pac.com) (stock); First Gen. Counsel's Rpt. at 10, MUR 5409 (Grover Norquist, et al.) (Aug. 31, 2004) (finding reason to believe that master contact list of activists was something of value under Act even though it lacked commercial or market value and despite difficulty in quantifying its precise worth); PC Brief at 7-8, MUR 5225 (New York Senate 2000) (July 5, 2005) (probable cause finding by Comm'n Oct. 20, 2005) (detailing approximately \$395,000 worth of in-kind contributions related to joint fundraising concert event that were unreported).

See, e.g., First Gen. Counsel's Rpt. at 12-13, 17-18, 22, MUR 6651 (Murray Energy Corp.) (collecting cases regarding the meaning of "anything of value," recommending that the Commission find that assembling a crowd for a political rally would be a thing of value, but also recommending dismissal). Our Office also recommended that the Commission find no reason to believe that the corporation facilitated contributions related to the rally, but ultimately the Commission was equally divided over how to proceed and closed the file. Certification, MUR 6651 (June 18, 2015).

See, Schneider, supra note 2, and Extra Mile's website, http://www.extramilenyc.com/document/who-we-are.html;

11

12

13

14

MUR 6961 (Gotham Government Relations & Communications LLC) Factual and Legal Analysis Page 8

- October, for unspecified services. Despite the payment, however, the allegations still raise the
- 2 question whether Gotham's apparent four-month extension of credit became a contribution to the
- 3 Committee. But there is limited information to make such a determination.
- First, there is no information to help determine if the \$12,000 the Committee paid for
- 5 Gotham's services was "usual and normal." The only information before the Commission about
- 6 the services Gotham provided are a check and the entry on the Committee's Year-End report
- 7 describing Gotham as an "Event Consultant." And while Gotham's response alludes to
- 8 "staffing" the event, the Committee has merely stated that Gotham provided "services," without
- 9 any more detail.

Similarly, the record is scant as to whether Gotham extended credit to the Committee outside the ordinary course of business.³⁰ The Commission has typically decided whether an extension of credit resulted in an in-kind contribution based upon an analysis of whether a vendor followed its ordinary course of business and whether the payment was made in a commercially reasonable time.³¹ The Commission has usually investigated extensions of credit involving

As a limited liability company, Gotham may be subject to the prohibition against corporate contributions, depending on whether it elects to be treated as a partnership or corporation by the Internal Revenue Service. 11 C.F.R. 110.1(g). If treated as a partnership, it is possible that Gotham made an excessive in-kind contribution to the Committee in violation of 52 U.S.C. § 30116 when it allowed the Committee almost four months to pay for its services. If Gotham elected tax treatment as a corporation, it may have violated 52 U.S.C. § 30118(a) if allowing the Committee four months to pay was not commercially reasonable.

Compare MURs 5069 and 5132 (Acevedo Vila) (authorizing an investigation where the record contained conflicting information about whether it was normal industry practice for advertising agencies to advance the money for media buys to campaigns, there did not appear to be a written agreement specifying the terms of the extension of credit, and there was insufficient information regarding the vendor's past dealings with the candidate or the committee), with MUR 6141(Friends of Dave Reichert) (finding no reason to believe where the media vendor submitted a sworn statement that it followed its own past practice as well as industry practice in its credit arrangements with the committee, there was publicly available information regarding the vendor's ordinary course of business, and there was no available information to contradict respondents' contentions), and MUR 6023 (John McCain 2008) (finding no reason to believe where the committee and its vendor provided detailed documentation concerning a renegotiated debt, which accounted for the time delays and supported the respondents' contentions that the reduction of the committee's bill was done in a commercially reasonable manner).

11.

12

13

1 substantial amounts in violation and lengthy repayment periods.³²

2 Here, the record is clear that the Committee paid Gotham almost four months after the 3 event, and more than a month after the complaint was filed. But there is no available information 4 supporting the Committee's assertion that the time it took to make the \$12,000 payment to 5 Gotham was commercially reasonable, or that Gotham followed its established credit practices 6 and would have made the same accommodations to similarly situated clients. However, the 7 apparent amount in question, \$12,000, is relatively modest and we have no information 8 suggesting that the amount involved was more substantial. Press reports and video footage show that the event was held in the atrium of Trump Tower, 33 instead of a larger venue, and that there 9 were hundreds, not thousands, of attendees.³⁴ 10

Therefore, the Commission exercises its prosecutorial discretion and dismisses the allegation that Gotham may have made an excessive or prohibited contribution to Trump and the Committee in connection with Gotham's services at the June event.

See, e.g., MUR 5396 (Bauer for President 2000) (entering into conciliation agreement to resolve, *inter alia*, excessive and prohibited corporate contribution violations resulting from extensions of credit from three different vendors totaling over \$700,000 and owed for periods between 105 to 235 days) and MURs 5069 and 5132 (Acevedo Vila) (investigating matter involving \$655,896 in debt for media and advertisement costs, almost half of which remained outstanding over a year after the debt was initially incurred).

See http://www.trumptowerny.com/trump-events-venues (stating that the atrium could accommodate 75 to 350 guests).

See Corcoran, supra n. 2; see also Carusone, supra n. 4 (publishing photo of "practically empty room"); Erik Durkin and Adam Edelman, Donald Trump Enters 2016 Presidential Race with Bizarre Speech Insulting Mexican Immigrants, Lambasting Obama, N.Y. DAILY NEWS (June 17, 2015), http://www.nydailynews.com/news/politics/donald-trump-entering-2016-presidential-race-article-1.2259706 (noting a "crowd that appeared to number in the hundreds"); Jeffrey Tomik, 2016 Presidential Announcements, Ranked by Entertainment Value, WASH. POST (June 17, 2015), https://www.washingtonpost.com/express/wp/2015/06/17/2016-presidential-announcements-ranked/ (stating that the Trump event was not a "big-budget announcement," noting no pyrotechnics, no musical guests and no grand entrance via helicopter). See also https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=TsFYmy1mvXM; http://www.dailymail.co.uk/news/article-3128230/Did-Donald-Trump-hire-PAID-ACTORS-presidential-campaign-launch-Claims-professionals-extras-brought-pose-supporters.html; http://abcnews.go.com/Politics/donald-trump-rode-escalator-2016-presidential-announcement/story?id=31801433.

1	FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION				
2	FACTUAL AND LEGAL ANALYSIS				
3	•				
4 5 6	RESPONDENTS: Extra Mile, Inc. MUR: 6961				
7	I. INTRODUCTION				
8	This matter was generated by a complaint filed with the Federal Election Commission				
9	(the "Commission"). See 52 U.S.C. § 30109(a)(l). The Complaint alleges that Donald J. Trur	mj			
10	for President, Inc. ("Committee") paid actors to attend Trump's candidacy announcement on				
11	June 16, 2015, but payments to those actors or to the companies that hired them were not				
12	disclosed. Thus, the Complaint alleges that Extra Mile, Inc. ("Extra Mile") violated the Feder	a]			
13	Election Campaign Act of 1971, as amended ("the Act") by making a prohibited contribution	to			
14	the Committee. Available information indicates that the Committee paid Gotham Governmen	ıt.			
15	Relations & Communications LLC ("Gotham") \$12,000 for its services at the June event on				
16	October 8, 2015, and that Extra Mile allegedly hired the actors. Available information indicat	tes			
17	that Gotham hired Extra Mile as a subcontractor to provide administrative support at Trump's	;			
18	announcement.				
19	Based on the information in the record the Commission has decided to exercise its				
20	prosecutorial discretion and dismisses the allegation that Extra Mile made a prohibited				
21	contribution to Trump and the Committee.				
22	II. FACTUAL AND LEGAL ANALYSIS				
23	A. Factual Background				
24	Donald J. Trump is a candidate for the Republican Presidential nomination in 2016.				

10 11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18 19

20

- 1 Trump announced his candidacy at an event held at Trump Tower in New York City on June 16,
- 2 2015, and filed his Statement of Candidacy with the Commission on June 22, 2015. Based
- 3 largely on press reports, the Complaint alleges that the Committee hired actors to be part of the
- 4 audience at Trump's candidacy announcement and failed to report the related transactions.²
- 5 According to press reports cited in the Complaint, Extra Mile issued a casting call e-mail for
- 6 actors "to wear t-shirts and carry signs and help cheer [Trump] in support of his announcement"
- 7 in exchange for a payment of \$50. One cited article from *The Hollywood Reporter* purports to
- 8 reprint a redacted version of that e-mail, which reads as follows:³

Hi there—We are working helping one of are [sic] associates out at Gotham GR - http://gothamgr.com/ with a big event happening on TUESDAY 6/16/15. This is an event in support of Donald Trump and an upcoming exciting announcement he will be making at this event. This event is called "People for a Stronger America." The entire group is a pro-small business group that is dedicated to encouraging Donald Trump and his latest ventures. This event will be televised. We are looking to cast people for the event to wear t-shirts and carry signs and help cheer him in support of his announcement. We understand this is not a traditional "background job," but we believe acting comes in all forms and this is inclusive of that school of thought. This event is happening LIVE and will be from 8:45AM-11:30AM. LESS THAN 3 HOURS. This will take place inside / interior. The rate for this is: \$50 CASH at the end of the event. We would love

The Committee filed a Statement of Organization with the Commission on June 29, 2015. See also Donald Trump Presidential Announcement, TRUMP WEBSITE, http://www.donaldjtrump.com/media/donald-trump-presidential-announcement (last visited Jan. 28, 2016); Ben Terris, Donald Trump begins 2016 bid, citing outsider status, WASH. POST (June 16, 2015), https://www.washingtonpost.com/politics/donald-trump-is-now-a-candidate-for-president-of-the-united-states/2015/06/16/5e6d738e-1441-11e5-9ddc-e3353542100c story.html.

See Compl. at 2 (citing Aaron Couch & Emmet McDermott, Donald Trump Campaign Offered Actors \$50 to Cheer for Him at Presidential Announcement, THE HOLLYWOOD REPORTER (June 17, 2015), http://www.hollywoodreporter.com/news/donald-trump-campaign-offered-actors-803161 and Kieran Corcoran, Donald Trump accused of hiring ACTORS for \$50 each to pose as supporters at Trump Towers presidential campaign launch, Daily Mail (June 17, 2015), http://www.dailymail.co.uk/news/article-3128230/Did-Donald-Trump-hire-PAID-ACTORS-presidential-campaign-launch-Claims-professionals-extras-brought-pose-supporters.html). The practice of hiring crowds to attend political events appears to be common. See Dan Schneider, 1-800-HIRE-A-CROWD, ATLANTIC (Jul. 22, 2015), http://www.theatlantic.com/business/archive/2015/07/crowd-hiring-politics-campaign-2016/399002/ (identifying "Extra Mile Casting" as an example of a company that offers rental crowd services).

Compl. at 2, (citing Couch, *supra* note 2).

to book you if you are interested and still available. Please let us know and we will get back to you with confirmation.

3

8

9

10

11

12

13

- 4 The Hollywood Reporter article includes photographs purportedly showing two actors
- 5 (Domenico Del Giacco and Courtney Klotz) wearing campaign t-shirts and holding campaign
- 6 signs at the event. Another press report indicated that campaign staff also persuaded tourists in
- 7 the area to be part of the crowd.5

Extra Mile is a New York corporation and Gotham is a New York limited liability company.⁶ On its website, Extra Mile describes itself as a casting agency founded "to target the abundant need of casting directors booking background talent in the New York City Metropolitan area." Extra Mile's website lists "Gotham GR" as a client but does not list Trump or his Committee.⁸ According to Gotham's website, it is a "government relations firm" whose clients

include various profit and non-profit entities. The Trump Organization, a limited liability

Couch, supra note 2; see also http://www.imdb.com/name/nm7401575/?ref_=fm_al_nm_1 and http://www.imdb.com/find?ref_=nv_sr_fn&q=courtney+klotz&s=all (listing bios for both actors). According to the press reports cited in the Complaint, the original source of these allegations appears to be an anti-Trump blogger named Angelo Carusone, who located the photographs of the actors at the Trump event through social media sites. See Angelo Carusone, Donald Trump Hired Paid Actors to Attend Presidential Launch Event, @GOANGELO (June 16, 2015), https://medium.com/@GoAngelo/donald-trump-hired-paid-actors-to-attend-presidential-launch-event-7c65e8fadea0#.fhwsov956 (last visited Jan. 28, 2016). Carusone states that a source verified that the actors in these photographs were indeed paid to attend the Trump event. Id.

Terris, *supr* a note 1 (stating that a campaign staff member offered free t-shirts to passersby who agreed to attend the event).

See New York Department of State, Division of Corporations, State Records & UCC, http://www.dos.ny.gov/corps/bus_entity_search.html.

http://www.extramilenyc.com/document/who-we-are.html.

http://www.extramilenyc.com/document/clients.html.

⁹ http://gothamgr.com/.

MUR 6961 (Extra Mile, Inc.) Factual and Legal Analysis Page 4

- 1 company headed by Trump as its Chairman and President, ¹⁰ is listed on Gotham's website as a
- 2 client, but the Committee is not. Gotham's YouTube channel, however, does include a two-
- 3 minute video called "trump announce 061615" that it produced, which consists of a montage of
- 4 footage taken at Trump's announcement event. 11 The video shows camera crews setting up,
- 5 supporters holding campaign signs and making positive statements about Trump, a person
- 6 encouraging a crowd to chant and cheer for Trump, and a portion of Trump's speech. 12

7 The Complaint alleges violations of the Act because the Committee's 2015 July Quarterly

- 8 Report, which covers the period from April 1 through June 30, 2015, did not disclose any
- 9 payments to Extra Mile, Gotham, or either of the identified actors, even though it appeared that
- they had provided services to the Committee related to the event. 13 According to the Complaint,
- because Extra Mile paid the actors directly, the Committee should have reimbursed Extra Mile
- 12 for those payments. 14 Likewise, the Complainant argues, Committee reports should have
- disclosed a disbursement to Gotham because Extra Mile's casting call stated that Gotham hired it
- 14 to recruit the actors. 15
- According to available information, the Committee has stated that it did not retain Extra
- Mile, had "no knowledge" of that firm, and did not hire or authorize hiring actors. It appears that

See New York Department of State, Division of Corporations, State Records & UCC, https://appext20.dos.ny.gov/corp_public/CORPSEARCH.ENTITY_INFORMATION?p_nameid=2447088&p_corpid=2405651&p_entity_name=trump&p_name_type=A&p_search_type=BEGINS&p_srch_results_page=. Trump is listed as Chairman and President on The Trump Organization website. See http://www.trump.com/biography/.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=TsFYmy1mvXM.

¹² *Id*.

Compl. at 3.

¹⁴ Id. at 4.

¹⁵ *ld.* at 5.

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

- the Committee hired Gotham to perform services for which it paid \$12,000 on October 8, 2015.
- There is no available information on the specific services that Gotham performed or an
- 3 explanation why the Committee paid the firm for these services almost four months after the
- 4 event. According to information, the Committee planned to disclose the payment to Gotham on
- 5 its 2015 Year End Report due on January 31, 2016, which it did, listing "Event Consultant" as
- 6 the purpose for the disbursement.

Information in the record indicates that Gotham provided services at Trump's announcement event as part of its communication and media practice, for which it was paid \$12,000 on October 8, 2015. It seems that Gotham may not have known about the actors but did retain Extra Mile to help it provide "administrative staff" for Trump's announcement. Based on available information, it appears that Gotham had no knowledge regarding the specific

Extra Mile did not submit a response. In *The Hollywood Reporter* article, a representative for Extra Mile denied knowing about the e-mail casting call. ¹⁶

B. Legal Analysis

individuals Extra Mile hired.

The Act prohibits contributions from an individual to a candidate or an authorized committee in excess of \$2,700 per election. The Act also prohibits corporations from making contributions to candidates or their committees. A "contribution" includes "any direct or indirect payment, distribution, loan, advance, deposit, or gift of money, or any services, or

Couch, supra note 2.

⁵² U.S.C. § 30116(a); 11 C.F.R. § 110.1(b).

¹⁸ 52 U.S.C. § 30118(a); 11 C.F.R. § 114.2(a).

10

12

anything of value." Anything of value includes in-kind contributions, such as the provision of

- 2 goods or services without charge, or at a charge less than the usual and normal charge.²⁰ A
- 3 commercial vendor may extend credit to a candidate, a political committee or another person on
- 4 behalf of a candidate or political committee provided that the credit is extended in the ordinary
- 5 course of the vendor's business and the terms are substantially similar to extensions of credit to
- 6 nonpolitical debtors that are of similar risk and size of obligation.²¹ Depending on the
- 7 circumstances under which an extension of credit is made, such an extension may, or may not,
- 8 constitute a contribution.

The Commission has interpreted "anything of value" broadly under the Act, focusing on whether the goods and services at issue provided a benefit to the candidate or committee that

received them.²² We believe that the service at issue here — assembling a crowd of attendees for

⁵² U.S.C. § 30118(b)(2); see also id. § 30101(8)(A)(i); 11 C.F.R. § 100.52(a).

²⁰ 11 C.F.R. § 100.52(d)(1).

¹¹ C.F.R. § 116.3(a). See also 11 C.F.R. § 116.3(b) (nearly identical rule regarding extensions of credit by corporate commercial vendors). In assessing whether a commercial vendor extended credit in the ordinary course of business, and thus did not make a contribution, the Commission will consider: (1) whether the commercial vendor followed its established procedures and its past practice in approving the extension of credit; (2) whether the commercial vendor received prompt payment in full if it previously extended credit to the same candidate or political committee; and (3) whether the extension of credit conformed to the usual and normal practice in the commercial vendor's trade. 11 C.F.R. § 116.3(c).

For example, the Commission has found that stocks and commodities, an activist's contact list, and the production elements for a benefit concert — including the services of an orchestra, gospel choir, talent assistants, make-up and hair artists, and publicists, would be considered contributions. See Advisory Op. 2000-30 (pac.com) (stock); First Gen. Counsel's Rpt. at 10, MUR 5409 (Grover Norquist, et al.) (Aug. 31, 2004) (finding reason to believe that master contact list of activists was something of value under Act even though it lacked commercial or market value and despite difficulty in quantifying its precise worth); PC Brief at 7-8, MUR 5225 (New York Senate 2000) (July 5, 2005) (probable cause finding by Comm'n Oct. 20, 2005) (detailing approximately \$395,000 worth of in-kind contributions related to joint fundraising concert event that were unreported).

MUR 6961 (Extra Mile, Inc.) Factual and Legal Analysis Page 7

- 1 a candidacy announcement provided a benefit to the Trump campaign. 23 It is not uncommon
- 2 for campaigns to hire companies or casting agencies like Extra Mile to generate a crowd at an
- 3 event.²⁴ In this matter, the available information indicates that the Committee retained Gotham
- 4 as an event consultant, and Gotham, in turn, subcontracted with Extra Mile to provide extra
- 5 administrative support at Trump's announcement, including the provision of at least some of the
- 6 rally crowd.
- 7 There remain questions regarding the arrangements with Extra Mile. The record,
- 8 however, indicates that the Committee paid \$12,000 to Gotham, and it is at least possible that
- 9 Gotham used that money to pay its subvendors and employees, including Extra Mile.²⁵
- 10 Accordingly, the Commission has decided to exercise its prosecutorial discretion and dismisses
- 11 the allegations that Extra Mile made a prohibited corporate contribution to Trump and the
- 12 Committee in connection with the services provided for the candidacy announcement event.

See, e.g., First Gen. Counsel's Rpt. at 12-13, 17-18, 22, MUR 6651 (Murray Energy Corp.) (collecting cases regarding the meaning of "anything of value," recommending that the Commission find that assembling a crowd for a political rally would be a thing of value, but also recommending dismissal). Our Office also recommended that the Commission find no reason to believe that the corporation facilitated contributions related to the rally, but ultimately the Commission was equally divided over how to proceed and closed the file. Certification, MUR 6651 (June 18, 2015).

See, Schneider, supra note 2, and Extra Mile's website, http://www.extramilenyc.com/document/who-we-are.html.

The Complaint does not allege that the Committee's payment to Gotham was intended to conceal that Extra Mile was the true recipient of the payment in violation of 52 U.S.C. § 30104(b)(5), which requires committees to identify the name and address of the recipient of any expenditure in excess of \$200. The Commission has determined that merely reporting the immediate recipient of a committee's payment will not satisfy the requirements of 52 U.S.C. § 30104(b)(5) when the facts indicate that the immediate recipient is merely a conduit for the intended recipient of the funds. See, e.g., MUR 4872 (Jenkins) (finding that the committee made payments intended for a specific vendor through an unrelated vendor acting as an intermediary in order to avoid any association with the first vendor). Here, the Committee denies any association with Extra Mile, and Gotham acknowledges that it hired Extra Mile on its own for additional support for the event. There is nothing available to contradict those assertions. Indeed, it appears that the Gotham provided a broader range of services to the Committee in connection with the candidacy announcement event than Extra Mile did by providing paid attendees.